

About the matter by which Japan decided on export regulation of "hydrogen fluoride and the others" to Korea. (Personal opinion about the betrayal of Korea)

2019. 7. 3 Seiji Tanabe

I think the matter of a mark isn't retaliation to draft artisan judgment, in fact it's measure on the future's security.

The reason is as follows.

The contents

1 In Korea under the MUNJEIN political power, day by day, Korea inclines toward Kita and it's also advancing disarmament, and is approaching China, Russia, Kita rather than Japan US and Japan.

2 Specifically, Korea assists Kita's SEDORI, And as a result, Korea sides with Kita who doesn't try to do denuclearization. In fact northern nuclear development is promoted.

3 Radar irradiation to a Japanese patrol plane of Korean destroyer KUWANGTODEWAN. Korea didn't even admit fact of radar irradiation, and pointed out conversely " A Japanese patrol plane was a low-altitude flight and it was intimidated." And The clear fabrication picture was made the evidence.

After that in Korea," When 3 nautical miles of Japanese patrol plane approached within (5.55 km), Korea warns by a radar, and warned to shoot it down when Japan wasn't based.

This thing is the evidence which shows that Korea flopped on the side of the hostile country clearly.

4 Hydrogen fluoride promotes 5G-ization in Korea (I'm becoming a hostile country half.) also there is a possibility that a Japanese semiconductor chip with the excellent semiconductor material is diverted into a weapon of a hostile country, so we have to mark.

5 Many pending problems the Korean side completed still exist between Japan and the Republic of Korea in addition to the item above-mentioned.

(1) Japan and the Republic of Korea agreed and established the Ianhu foundation as an irreversible solution of a forced prostitute (It didn't exist originally. It is called "Ianhu" in the following.) problem. Japan contributed 1,000,000,000 yen to this. Korea dismissed this foundation one-sidedly without Japanese understanding.

(2) Korea ignored a claim rights agreement of Japan and the Republic of Korea in 1965 (Processing settled all this the postwar between Japan and the Republic of Korea.).

The Korean Supreme Court ordered to insure the damages to a Japanese enterprise.

(President MUNJEIN intervened in judiciary by arrogation and sent the judge of the majority who supports himself to a court, and sent the judgment's one expects being given.)

(3) Korean Diet chairperson MUNHISAN called His Majesty the Emperor "Nichiou" and insulted with "war criminal's son". And insulted to say " If His Majesty the Emperor comes to Korea and apologizes to "Ianhu old woman" with a word "Excuse me.", the Ianhu problem would be settled.

(4) Japan asked to apologize to the MUNHISAN chairperson for irreverence, he, "A thief is fierce.", it was furthermore insulted.

(5) A Korean citizen group erected a Ianhu statue in front of the Japanese embassy in the soul city. This is international irreverence, but the Korean government acquiesced.

(6) The Buddhist image by which theft was done from a Japanese temple. A Korean courthouse, "The one stolen from a Korean temple 600 years before, so you don't have to return it to an owner.", a decision was passed. A concept of prescription is loose in a Korean trial also self-help is admitted calmly.

This is a systematic defect and a government confirms its flaw.

(7) Korea didn't admit a hoist by the reason that a Japanese paragon flag is a war criminal flag. This ship flag is also an admitted flag internationally. As a result, Japan left attendance to a held naval review over in Korea. Such insistence is only an ordinary false charge.

(8) A so-called Anti-Japanese Law exists in Korea now. When they conflict in this law, the reason why they touch Japan closely or they gave a convenience to Japan, They confiscate assets.

And, it's said that the Merger of Korea was performed by unfair state power according to a Korean textbook. So, it become estranged with the fact merged based on a proposal of the Republic of Korea imperial emperor.

According to the textbook, it is written, "the Korean receives discriminatory treatment at the time of Japan-Korea merger, and property was plundered of unfairly", but all these are false descriptions.

The education that an anti-Japan is put in the basis of the national existence is accomplished, but thinks that the feelings of the Korea nation to Japan do not improve it in a true meaning unless such education in itself is revised based on a fact.

(9) In this situation , Korea will be thought that it is the country which cannot protect a promise, the normal judiciary of the constitutional state does not function unconcernedly, which changes a fact unconcernedly, forges a lie, and will lose trust of the global community.